**Sample PF Ballot**

Ballots do not all look alike, but they all contain certain essential information.

Judge Name \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_Megan Ren\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Pro Speaker #1 Name \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_??\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Speaker Points \_\_\_28.5\_\_\_\_

Pro Speaker #2 Name \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_??\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Speaker Points \_\_\_29\_\_\_\_\_

Con Speaker #1 Name \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Speaker Points \_\_\_\_28.5\_\_\_\_\_\_

Con Speaker #2 Name \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_??\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Speaker Points \_\_\_\_28.5\_\_\_\_\_\_

School You are Voting For Princeton \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Please Verify the Side You Voted For – Underline One – Pro or Con

**Comments for the Pro Speakers**

Good job connecting summary and final focus--all arguments cleanly extended throughout the round

Condensing was good, focused on the most important arguments throughout

Could spend more time in rebuttal weighing impacts, especially when speaking first

**Comments for the Con Speakers**

Voters in final focus especially need to be very clear, the final focus was a little all over the place. You tried to cover too much ground in the speech--condense to the two most important arguments rather than rebutting many points that were made throughout the round.

Good rebuttal, very nice coverage of all args

**Reasons for Your Decision**

Con has no quantifiable impacts and never addresses this issue directly. They don't successfully prove that manufacturing jobs disappear and don't return. Pro outweighs on scope and strength of link.